# United States District Court Northern District of California

	DISTRICT COURT ICT OF CALIFORNIA
RAUL SIQUEIROS, et al.,  Plaintiffs,  v.  GENERAL MOTORS LLC,  Defendant.	Case No. 16-cv-07244-EMC  ORDER RE FINAL VERDICT FORM
The Court's final verdict form is below.  IT IS SO ORDERED.	

Dated: October 2, 2022

EDWARD M. CHEN United States District Judge

### Northern District of California

BREACH OF IMPLIED WARRANTY UNDER CALIFORNIA SONG-BEVERLY
CONSUMER WARRANTY ACT

CONSUMER WARRANTY ACT		
1. Do you find, by a preponderance of the evidence, that Plaintiffs have proven all elements of this claim for Plaintiff Tarvin and all other California class members?		
YES		
NO		
If you answered "yes" to Question #1, answer Questions #2 and #3 below. If you answered "no" to Question 1, skip Questions #2 and #3, and proceed to Question #4, below.		
10 to Question 1, skip Questions #2 and #3, and proceed to Question #4, below.		
2. Do you find, by a preponderance of the evidence, that Plaintiffs have proven that		
the statute of limitations is to be tolled for all California class members who purchased or leased a		
Class Vehicle before December 19, 2012?		
YES		
NO		
3. What amount of damages do you find (if any), by a preponderance of the evidence,		
to be the economic damages of each member of the California class, including Plaintiff Tarvin, for		
breach of implied warranty under the Song-Beverly Warranty Act? Any damages should be		
awarded on a per vehicle basis.		
\$		

## Northern District of California

### BREACH OF IMPLIED WARRANTY OF MERCHANTABILITY

UNDER NORTH CAROLINA LAW	
4. Do you find, by a preponderance of the evidence, that Plaintiffs have proven all	
elements of this claim for Plaintiff Davis and all other North Carolina class members?	
YES	
NO	
If you answered "yes" to Question #4, answer Questions #5 and #6 below. If you answered	d
"no" to Question #4, skip Questions #5 and #6, and proceed to Question #7, below.	
5. Do you find, by a preponderance of the evidence, that Plaintiffs have proven that	t
the statute of limitations is to be tolled for all North Carolina class members who purchased or	
leased a Class Vehicle before December 19, 2012?	
YES	
NO	
6. What amount of damages do you find (if any), by a preponderance of the eviden	ce,
to be the economic damages of each member of the North Carolina class, including Plaintiff	
Davis, for breach of implied warranty under North Carolina law? Any damages should be	
awarded on a per vehicle basis.	
\$	

1

### VIOLATION OF THE IDAHO CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT

2	
3	7. Do you find, by a preponderance of the evidence, that Plaintiffs have proven all
4	elements of this claim for Plaintiff Del Valle and all other Idaho class members?
5	YES
6	NO
7	
8	If you answered "yes" to Question #7, answer Questions #8 and #9 below. If you answered
9	"no" to Question #7, there are no further questions.
10	
11	8. For any Idaho class member who purchased or leased a Class Vehicle before
12	December 19, 2014, do you find, by a preponderance of the evidence, that Plaintiffs have proven
13	that Plaintiff Del Valle and all other Idaho class members did not know, and through the exercise
14	of reasonable diligence could not have known, that their claim might exist before December 19,
15	2014?
16	YES
17	NO
18	
19	9. What amount of damages do you find (if any), by a preponderance of the evidence,
20	to be the economic damages of each member of the Idaho class, including Plaintiff Del Valle, for
21	violation of the Idaho Consumer Protection Act?
22	
23	\$
24	
25	DATED:, 2022
26	
27	FOREPERSON
28	FUREFERSUN